ITEM NO.10 **COURT NO.15** **SECTION II-D**

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Crl.) No(s). 17960/2026

[Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 31-08-2026 in BA No. 3566/2026 passed by the High Court of Delhi at New Delhi]

[redacted] **Petitioner(s)**

VERSUS

THE STATE (GOVT. OF NCT OF DELHI) & ANR. **Respondent(s)**

IA No. 288338/2026 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING C/C OF THE IMPUGNED JUDGMENT

Date : 04-11-2026 This matter was called on for hearing today.

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SATISH CHANDRA SHARMA
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NONGMEIKAPAM KOTISWAR SINGH

For Petitioner(s) :Mr. R D Singh, Adv.
Ms. Divya Tripathi, Adv.
Mr. Siddhant Sehgal, Adv.
Mr. Shashi Ranjan, Adv.
Mr. Abhishek Arora, Adv.
Mr. Anand Kamal, Adv.
Mr. Ashish Choudhury, AOR
Ms. Priyal Dogra, Adv.

For Respondent(s) :Mr. Anoopam N Prasad, Adv.
Mr. Rajat Singh- 2565, AOR
Ms. Aurica Bhattacharya, Adv.
Ms. Muhhamad Maroof, Adv.

Mr. Kanakamedala Ravindra Kumar, ASG
Mr. Devraj Bhattarcharjee, Adv.
Mr. Jashpreet Singh, Adv.

Signature Not Verified
Digitally signed by RAHUL KUMAR
Date: 2026.11.05 16:18:04 IST
Reason:

shoneerapoor.com

Ms. Disha Thakkar, Adv.
Ms. Jyotika Sharma, Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

1. The petitioner before this Court has filed the present petition, being aggrieved by the impugned order dated 31.08.2026 passed by the High Court of Delhi at New Delhi in Bail Application No. 3566 of 2026, rejecting the prayer for anticipatory bail in connection with FIR No. 133 of 2026, registered at Police Station Chitranjan Park, New Delhi, for the offences punishable under Sections 376 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 and 64(1), 77, 79, 351(2) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023.

2. This Court, vide order dated 22.09.2026, granted interim protection to the petitioner in the matter. Pursuant thereto, the petitioner has appeared before the Investigating Officer and cooperated with the investigation. The investigation has since been completed, and the charge-sheet has also been filed before the competent court.

3. Learned counsel appearing for the respondent-State as well as the complainant submit that the petitioner has not furnished the requisite passwords and access

shoneekapoor.com

credentials for his Cloud storage and Telegram account, and express apprehension that the material collected on his mobile phone may be circulated.

4. This Court after hearing learned counsel for the parties and taking into consideration the fact that the charge-sheet has already been filed and the petitioner has joined the investigation, is of the opinion that the interim order dated 22.09.2026 deserves to be made absolute.

5. Accordingly, interim order dated 22.09.2026 is made absolute subject to the condition that petitioner shall continue to co-operate with the investigation and shall report as and when called upon to do so by the Investigating Authority.

6. However, petitioner is directed to furnish all necessary details, credentials, and passwords relating to his Cloud ID, Telegram account, and other social media platforms to the investigating Officer.

7. The petitioner shall abide by his undertaking given before this Court that he shall not circulate or share any material connected with the case on any social media platform or with any person.

8. The Special Leave Petition is accordingly disposed

shoneekapoor.com

of in the above terms.

9. Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

(RAHUL KUMAR)
COURT MASTER (SH)

(KOMAL)
COURT MASTER (NSH)

shoneekapoor.com