RAJASTHAN HIGH COURT
सत्यमेव जयते

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
AT JODHPUR

D.B. Civil Miscellaneous Appeal No. 3200/2024
CNR: RJHC010916722024 | URN: CMA / 8248U / 2024

[redacted]

----Appellant

Versus

[redacted]

----Respondent

Rajasthan High Court
सत्यमेव जयते
Web Copy - Not Official

For Appellant(s)	:	Ms. Apurva Chordia (through VC) Mr. Mohit Aggarwal (petitioner-in-person)
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr. Hamir Singh Sidhu

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN MONGA

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE YOGENDRA KUMAR PUROHIT

Order

27/10/2026

1. Appellant-husband, *inter alia*, seeks adequate unsupervised visitation rights and a joint custody/shared parenting arrangement qua his minor daughter, contending that the impugned order dated 11.11.2024 passed by the learned Family Court No.2, Sri Ganganagar, restricting visitation to the second and fourth Monday of every month within the court premises, fails to adequately safeguard the welfare of the minor child and her right to the love, care and affection of both parents.

2. We have heard learned counsel for the petitioner as well as the respondent.

(Uploaded on 05/11/2026 at 10:56:21 AM)
(Downloaded on 05/11/2026 at 11:53:59 AM)

3. First and foremost reference may be made to the interim order dated 04.07.2025 passed by Coordinate Bench of this Court, which is reproduced as under:

> *"Learned counsel for both the parties and petitioner in person submits that the order dated 27.05.2025 passed by this Court was abided by both the parties and both the parties seem to be satisfied on such account. However, such order was operational only for the summer vacation because the girl child would require to go to school now as she is 3.5 years old.*
>
> *Thus, in the interest of justice, it is directed that the petitioner-husband shall pick up the girl child from the respondent-wife's place on every Friday, after school hours, i.e., at 2:00 P.M. and take her to his home. The petitioner – husband shall keep the child with him upto Sunday evening at 07:00 P.M., at which time he shall return the child to the respondent-wife at her residence by or before 7:00 P.M.*
>
> *Learned counsel for the respondent shall be required to provide the address of the respondent – wife to the counsel for the petitioner – husband.*
>
> *Such arrangement shall remain in currency for next two months, after which the Court shall review the same. Both the parties shall be required to strictly adhere to this arrangement.*
>
> *List the matter after two months."*

4. On a query posed to both the learned counsel, they submit that as long as the aforesaid arrangement continues during pendency before the learned Family Court, there is no further requirement at this stage to pass any further orders. In any case, the order assailed before us already stands modified by virtue of the aforesaid interim order. Any grievance qua the same does not survive in view thereof.

5. Accordingly, the appeal is disposed of by making the aforesaid interim order absolute during pendency of the proceedings before the learned Guardian Judge.

6. All pending application(s), if any, also stand disposed of.

(YOGENDRA KUMAR PUROHIT),J **(ARUN MONGA),J**

S-A/91-Nirmala/-

(Uploaded on 05/11/2026 at 10:56:21 AM)
(Downloaded on 05/11/2026 at 11:53:59 AM)