

\$~64

* IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI
+ C.R.P. [REDACTED]

..... Petitioner

Through [REDACTED]
Singh, Advs.

versus

..... Respondent

Through

CORAM:
HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE
ORDER

%

CM APPL. [REDACTED] (Exemption)

1. Exemption allowed, subject to all just exceptions.
2. The application is disposed of.

C.R.P. [REDACTED]

3. The present petition has been listed in the typed Supplementary List after being mentioned before the Hon'ble Chief Justice.
4. The petitioner assails the order dated [REDACTED] passed by the learned Family Court in Guardianship Petition No. [REDACTED] whereunder the petitioner has been directed to handover custody of the two minor children aged 14 years and 11 years to the respondent/mother.
5. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the children have clearly expressed their desire to continue to stay with the father and

do not want to shift to the mother's residence. He, therefore, submits that in these circumstances, the direction issued by the learned Family Court that police assistance be given to the respondent, in case the petitioner does not handover the custody of the children to her is wholly unwarranted.

6. Upon the petitioner taking steps, issue notice to the respondent through all permissible modes. Counter affidavit and reply to the application, if any, be filed within four weeks. Rejoinder thereto, if any, be filed within two weeks, thereafter.
7. The minor children have also joined the proceedings through Video Conferencing and having interacted with them, I find that they are mature enough to express their desire and have clearly stated that though they are desirous of visiting their mother, i.e, the respondent occasionally, they wish to continue to stay with the petitioner/father.
8. In the light of the aforesaid view expressed by the children, it is directed that till the next date, operation of the impugned order will remain stayed.
9. List on _____