

status to the respondent no. 1.

On the other hand, it is submitted by Ld. Counsel for the respondents that respondent no. 1 is residing in U.K. and he is having expenses as per the cost of living index in U.K. and hence, the same fact should be considered by the court at the time of deciding the application for grant of interim maintenance. It is further submitted that petitioner has concealed her income from the court and she was working at the time of filing of present petition, however, the said fact is concealed by the petitioner from the court.

Ld. Counsel for respondents has pointed out the various entries in the bank accounts of the petitioner from which it appears that petitioner was working much prior to August, 2017.

To this, it is submitted by Ld. Counsel for petitioner that petitioner was working on and off and hence, the said point was not mentioned in the affidavit by the petitioner. List of dates and transactions reflecting the income of the petitioner is supplied by the Ld. Counsel for respondents. Ld. Counsel for respondents has also placed on record one document which reveals that the petitioner was MBA and had work experience of 8 years in the year 2017.

Submissions made on behalf of both the parties heard. Both the parties have equally qualified and they are post graduate in business management.



On perusal of record, it appears that petitioner is working much prior to August 2017. It also appears that complainant has not